



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/384,532	08/27/1999	MASASHI KUNO	3517-45	7955

7590 05/19/2003

GERALD LEVY, ESQ.
KANE, DALSIMER, SULLIVAN, KURUCZ
LEVY, EISELE AND RICHARD
711 THIRD AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 100174059

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

TRAN, DOUGLAS Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2624	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/384,532	KUNO ET AL.
	Examiner Douglas Q. Tran	Art Unit 2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____. | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |
|--|--|

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of copies of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which copies of papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 16, 18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Samuels (US Patent No. 5,937,225).

As to claim 16, Samuels teaches notifying method of notifying a user of an ink amount, the notifying method comprising the steps of:

- (a) converting image data into print data (col. 3, lines 28-29 and 35-36: the formatting entity 31 generates a printer specific stream of bits that represents the print data);
- (b) predicting a required ink amount indicating an ink amount required by a printer for performing print operations, the print operations being performed for forming a print image on recording medium based on the print data (col. 3, lines 40-44: the pixel count of the print data is indicative of the required toner usage when actually printing the print job on the printer);

(c) detecting a remaining ink amount indicating an ink amount remaining in the printer; (col. 3, lines 56-62: the global pixel count entity 33 in fig. 2 for automatically receiving signal of the remaining toner in the cartridge from the printer);

(d) comparing the required ink amount with the remaining ink amount (col. 4, lines 5-7 and 15-17);

(e) determining whether or not the remaining ink amount is sufficient for the printer to complete the print operations based on the print data (col. 4, lines 6-7 and 12-14: the remaining ink amount from information of the global pixel count is determined by the comparator, this procedure is processed with the entire of the print data of the print job “col. 3, lines 40-42” at the global pixel count entity 33, the print job is not yet transferred to a printer for print operating); and

(f) notifying a user of a determination result of the step (e), before the printer starts performing the print operations based on the print data (col. 4, lines 5-11: a signal generated which provides for notification of the user with a message displayed to the user based on the current print data of the print job “col. 1, lines 48-50” to tell the user how much toner or ink will be required and if the toner is soon expected to be empty, then the user decide to replace and/or the user decides to cancel before the printer starts printing “col. 4, lines 25-28 and col. 1, lines 58-60”).

As to claim 18 , Samuels teaches preview data based on the image data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data (col. 3, lines 38-44).

As to claim 20, Samuels teaches the step of (o) determining whether or not ink needs to be replenished; and notifying a user that the ink needs to be replenished when it is determined in the step (o) that ink needs to be replenished (col. 4, lines 12-14).

As to claim 21, Samuels teaches the step of (q) resetting the level of ink when ink is refilled or replaced (col. 3, lines 59-61).

As to claim 22, Samuels teaches detecting a condition of the printers and notifying the user of the condition of the printers (col. 2, lines 24-26 and col. 4, lines 25-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 17, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samuels, are applied above in view of claim 16, in combination with Garr et al. (US Patent No. 5,802,420).

As to claim 17 and 19, Samuels teaches of calculating the average tone of pixels on the preview data, and average density of print image and calculating the required ink amount for an entire print region with the average density at an actual print size (col. 3, lines 37-53).

However, Samuels does not teach of determining how much ink is short when it is determined that the remaining ink amount is not sufficient, and notifying the user of how much

Art Unit: 2624

ink is short; and displaying a preview image based on the preview data, based on the image data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data.

Garr teaches determining how much ink is short when it is determined that the remaining ink amount is not sufficient, and notifying the user of how much ink is short; and displaying a preview image based on the preview data, based on the image data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data (see fig. 7, col. 18, lines 15-37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print system of Samuels for displaying the a preview image to determine the remaining ink amount and how much ink is short as taught by Garr. The suggestion for modifying the system of Samuels can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Garr because the printing system of Samuels is modified based on the teaching of Garr would improve the printing system that predicts how many pages can be printed before the toner or ink cartridge becomes empty, and also predicts how much time remains before this toner or ink cartridge becomes empty (lines 1-4 in abstract).

As to claim 23, Samuels teaches based on the remaining ink amount and the condition of the print operations, automatically selecting one of a plurality of printers to which the print data is transmitted (note: since the printing system including a plurality of printers in the network, the server automatically selects one of printers based on the condition of printers that is well known in the prior art "col. 2, lines 25-28").

6. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Samuels and Owa et al. (US Patent No. 6,348,971).

As to claims 13 and 14, Samuels teaches the printer controller (i.e., printer server, col. 5, lines 15-17) connected between a terminal (i.e., a test processor or an application program 30 in fig. 2) and a printer (10 in fig. 2), the terminal generating image data (i.e., a finished document, col. 3, lines 28-29), the printer transmitting remaining ink amount data indicating an amount of ink remaining (i.e., toner usage) in the printer to external device (col. 3, lines 30-31: the global pixel count is indicative of the toner usage from the printer is transmitted to a global pixel count entity 33), the printer controller comprising:

converting means (i.e. formatting 31 in fig. 2) for converting the image data into the print data (col. 3, lines 28-29 and 35-36: the formatting entity 31 generates a printer specific stream of bits that represents the print data);

predicting means (32 in fig. 2) for predicting, based on the image data, a required ink amount (i.e., toner usage) indicating an ink amount required by the printer for performing the print operations based on the print data (col. 3, lines 40-44: the pixel count of the print data is indicative of the required toner usage when actually printing the print job on the printer);

receiving means for receiving the remaining ink amount data from the printer (col. 3, lines 56-62: the global pixel count entity 33 in fig. 2 for automatically receiving signal of the remaining toner in the cartridge from the printer);

determination means for determining, before the print operations start, whether the remaining ink amount is sufficient for the printer to perform the print operations (col. 4, lines 5-7 and 12-14: the remaining ink amount from information of the global pixel count is determined by the comparator, this procedure is processed with the print job at the global pixel count entity 33, the print job is not yet transferred to a printer for print operating);

notifying means for notifying a user of determination results determined by the determination means (col. 4, lines 5-11).

However, Samuels does not explicitly teach transmitting means of the server for transmitting the request signal of the remaining ink amount data to the printer.

Owa, in the same field of endeavor, teaches the communication between a server and a printer is established based on the information of the remaining amount of ink in which the status monitor section (13 in fig. 2) of the server (col. 17, lines 44-51: the server includes the status monitor section for monitoring the status of the printers) can gather the status of the printers including *remaining ink/toner amount* (col. 4, lines 6-17 and fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print server of Samuels for collecting the remaining ink/toner amount data from exchanging the data with the printer as taught by Owa. The suggestion for modifying the server of Samuels can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Owa because the server of Samuels is modified by teaching of Owa would allow the user or the operator in the server or the host computer to keep track the conditions of the printer every time the print job is generated. The motivation for Owa is described in column 2, lines 1-10 and the motivation for Yano is described in column 2, lines 45-51.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Samuels and Garr et al. (US Patent No. 5,802,420).

As to claim 15, Samuels teaches a predicting method for predicting a required ink amount required by a printer for performing print operations, the predicting method comprising steps of:

Art Unit: 2624

determining an average tone of pixels of an image and based on the average tone, calculating an average density of a print image to be printed (col. 3, lines 45-54: a weighting factor of the pixel count in the print data is determined);

detecting an ink amount required for printing an entire region with the average density at an actual size (col. 3, lines 37-42).

However, Samuels does not teach of generating preview data based on image data.

Garr teaches of predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data (see fig. 7, col. 18, lines 15-37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print system of Samuels for displaying the a preview image to determine the remaining ink amount and how much ink is short as taught by Garr. The suggestion for modifying the system of Samuels can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Garr because the printing system of Samuels is modified based on the teaching of Garr would improve the printing system that predicts how many pages can be printed before the toner or ink cartridge becomes empty, and also predicts how much time remains before this toner or ink cartridge becomes empty (lines 1-4 in abstract).

8. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Samuels and Yano et al. (US Patent No. 6,476,926) and/or Owa et al. (US Patent No. 6,348,971).

As to claim 1, Samuels teaches a printing system comprising:
a terminal that generates image data (a test processor or an application program 30 in fig. 2 generating a finished document in col. 3, lines 28-29);

at least one printer (10 in fig. 2) that performs print operations for forming an image on a recording medium using ink based on print data; and

a printer controller (printer server, col. 5, lines 15-17) that is connected between the terminal and the printer and converts the image data into the print data (col. 3, lines 28-36),

wherein the printer controller comprises:

- predicting means (32 in fig. 2) for predicting a required ink amount indicating an amount of ink required (i.e., toner usage) for the printer to perform the print operations based on the print data (col. 3, lines 40-44: the pixel count of the print data is indicative of the required toner usage when actually the print job on the printer);

- a memory (32 in fig. 2) that stores required ink amount data indicating the required ink amount (col. 3, lines 57-59: the global pixel count is indicative of the toner usage since the printer's toner cartridge has been replaced or refilled. Thus, the server "col. 5, lines 15-17" stores this information from the printer);

the printer transmitting the ink amount signal to the server (col. 3, lines 61-62);

at least one of the terminal and the printer controller comprises:

receiving means for receiving the remaining ink amount data from the transmitting means of the printer (col. 3, lines 56-62: the global pixel count entity 33 in fig. 2 for receiving signal of the remaining toner from the printer);

retrieving means for retrieving the required ink amount data stored in the memory (the pixel count is retrieved in order to compare with the information of condition of the printer in col. 3, lines 64-65);

determination means for determining based on the remaining ink amount data and the required ink amount data, whether or not the remaining ink amount is sufficient to perform the print operations, before the print operations are started (col. 4, lines 6-7 and 12-14: the remaining ink amount from information of the global pixel count is determined by the comparator, this procedure is processed with the entire of the print data of the print job “col. 3, lines 40-42” at the global pixel count entity 33, the print job is not yet transferred to a printer for print operating);

notifying means for notifying a user of a determination result determined by the determination means, the notifying means notifying the user before the print operations are started (col. 4, lines 5-11: a signal generated which provides for notification of the user with a message displayed to the user based on the current print data of the print job “col. 1, lines 48-50” to tell the user how much toner or ink will be required and if the toner is soon expected to be empty, then the user decide to replace and/or the user decides to cancel before the printer starts printing “col. 4, lines 25-28 and col. 1, lines 58-60”).

However, Samuels does not explicitly teach transmitting means of the server for transmitting the request signal of the remaining ink amount data to the printer in which the printer managing the remaining ink amount data.

Yano teaches the communication between a host device (the host device is understand as any device will host directly with a printer) and a printer is established based on the information of the remaining amount of ink in which the host transmits the request signal for the status of the remaining amount of ink stored in the printer (see fig. 5 and 9; col. 3 and 15-17 and step of S2 in fig. 20).

Art Unit: 2624

Furthermore, Owa teaches the communication between a server and a printer is established based on the information of the remaining amount of ink in which the status monitor section (13 in fig. 2) of the server (col. 17, lines 44-51: the server includes the status monitor section for monitoring the status of the printers) can gather the status of the printers including *remaining ink/toner amount* (col. 4, lines 6-17 and fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print server of Samuels for collecting the remaining ink/toner amount data from exchanging the data with the printer as taught by Yano and/or Owa. The suggestion for modifying the server of Samuels can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Yano and/or Owa because the server of Samuels is modified by teaching of Yano and/or Owa would allow the user or the operator in the server or the host computer to keep track the conditions of the printer every time the print job is generated. The motivation for Owa is described in column 2, lines 1-10 and the motivation for Yano is described in column 2, lines 45-51.

As to claim 3, Samuels teaches the transmitting means of the printer controller repeatedly transmits the request signal at a predetermined interval (col. 3, lines 61-62).

As to claim 6, Samuels teaches the step of determining whether or not ink needs to be replenished; and notifying a user that the ink needs to be replenished when it is determined in the step that ink needs to be replenished (col. 4, lines 12-14) and step of resetting the level of ink when ink is refilled or replaced (col. 3, lines 59-61).

9. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samuels, Yano and/or Owa, are applied in view of claim 1, in combination with Garr.

As to claims 2, 4, 7 and 8, the combination of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa teaches every feature in claim 1.

However, the combination of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa does not teach notifying means for notifying the user of how much ink is short and predicts the required ink amount based on the preview data.

Garr teaches determining how much ink is short when it is determined in the step of that the remaining ink amount is not sufficient, and notifying the user of how much ink is short; and displaying a preview image based on the preview data, based on the image data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data on the graph in the window (see fig. 7, col. 18, lines 15-37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa for displaying the a preview image to determine the remaining ink amount and how much ink is short as taught by Garr. The suggestion for modifying the system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Garr because the printing system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa is modified based on the teaching of Garr would improve the printing system that predicts how many pages can be printed before the toner or ink cartridge becomes empty, and also predicts how much time remains before this toner or ink cartridge becomes empty (lines 1-4 in abstract).

As to claim 5, Garr teaches determining how much ink is short when it is determined in the step (e) that the remaining ink amount is not sufficient, and (h) notifying the user of how much ink is short; and displaying a preview image based on the preview data, based on the image

Art Unit: 2624

data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data (see fig. 7, col. 18, lines 15-37).

As to claim 9, Owa teaches detecting a condition of the printers and notifying the user of the condition of the printers (fig. 4).

As to claim 10, Owa teaches based on the remaining ink amount and the condition of the print operations, automatically selecting one of a plurality of printers to which the print data is transmitted (col. 2, lines 20-25).

10. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samuels, Yano and/or Owa, are applied above in view of claim 1, in combination with Brown, Jr. et al. (US Patent No. 5,970,275).

As to claims 11and 12, the combination of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa teaches every feature in claim 1.

However, the combination of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa does not teach the printer determines whether or not the remaining ink amount is sufficient for each of the different colors.

Brown teaches the printer determines whether or not the remaining ink amount is sufficient for each of the different colors (col. 2, line 50 to col. 3, line 8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa for determining whether or not the remaining ink amount is sufficient for each of the different colors as taught by Brown. The suggestion for modifying the system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Brown (col. 2, line 50 to col. 3, line 8) because the printing system of Samuels, Yano and/or Owa is modified based on the teaching of Brown would

Art Unit: 2624

allow the user in the host device to keep track the accurately status of the remaining amount of toners in the color printers in the network (col. 1, line 66 to col. 2, line 2).

Response to Arguments and Amendment

11. Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserted on page 8 of the argument that "Samuels merely notifies a user of that toner is soon expected to be empty when a global pixel count approaches the global threshold, but does not notify the user whether or not remaining toner amount is sufficient for a specific print job. That is, when the global pixel count approaches the global threshold, the user is notified of that the toner will be soon empty regardless of data amount of particular print job. Moreover, Samuels does not suggest that prediction is preformed before printing is started". In reply, Samuels clearly teaches the remaining ink amount is determined for the printer to complete the print operations based on the print data (col. 4, lines 6-7 and 12-14: the remaining ink amount from information of the global pixel count is determined by the comparator, this procedure is processed with the entire of the print data of the print job "col. 3, lines 40-42" at the global pixel count entity 33, the print job is not yet transferred to a printer for print operating); and a user is notified before the printer starts performing the print operations based on the print data (col. 4, lines 5-11: a signal generated which provides for notification of the user with a message displayed to the user based on the current print data of the print job "col. 1, lines 48-50" to tell the user how much toner or ink will be required and if the toner is soon expected to be

empty, then the user decide to replace and/or the user decides to cancel before the printer starts printing “col. 4, lines 25-28 and col. 1, lines 58-60”).

Applicant asserted on page 8 of the argument that “ the preview data is generated by reducing the size of image data. Garr disclose no such preview” in reply, Garr teaches determining how much ink is short when it is determined that the remaining ink amount is not sufficient, and notifying the user of how much ink is short; and displaying a preview image based on the preview data, based on the image data, and predicting the required ink amount based on the preview data (see fig. 7, col. 18, lines 15-37).

For the above reasons, it is believed that the cited prior art fully discloses the claimed invention and the rejection stand.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4857 or E-mail address is Douglas.tran@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Douglas Q. Tran
May 15, 2003


GABRIEL GARCIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER